DC and AC characterization of a Vanadium Redox Flow Battery (VRFB) using a Pinflow 20 cm² test lab cell

I. Introduction

Redox Flow Batteries (RFBs) stand out from all electrochemical energy storage systems as a highly attractive candidate for the following reasons: i) a low Levelised Cost Of Storage (LCOS), which includes investment and servicing cost; ii) great flexibility in terms of power (number and size of cells) and energy (amount of available energy storage medium ie redox electrolyte), iii) the fact that a single cell or a stack can be used for the reversible operation (charging and discharging) [1].

Among the various technologies that are available, vanadium redox couples (V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺ for the positive electrode, V²⁺ and V³⁺ for the negative electrode) present a remarkable durability mainly due to: i) the absence of mutual contamination of electrolytes (as both electrolytes on the positive and negative side of the cell contain vanadium), ii) the absence of volume changes of the electrodes related to metal plating or ion insertion (both electrolytes react at inert electrodes and remain liquid) [2].

In this application note, a Vanadium Redox Flow Battery (VRFB) was characterized using typical DC and AC techniques: galvanostatic charge and discharge cycling and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS).

II. VRFB principles

Figure 1 shows the schematic of a Redox Flow Battery. As is the case in any electrochemical device system, the RFB converts electrochemical energy into electricity. Unlike conventional batteries, like Li-ion or Ni-Cd batteries, which are closed systems, where the storage and conversion of energy take place in the same place, RFBs, like fuel cells, are open systems where energy conversion and storage are separated. The electrochemical energy is stored in the electrolytes, in the form of a redox Nernst potential difference between both electrolytes.

Figure 1: Schematics of a Redox Flow Battery (RFB).
In the case of a VRFB, the electrolyte on the positive side of the cell ("catholyte") contains the redox couple $\text{VO}_2^+ / \text{VO}^{2+}$ with a standard potential of 1.000 V/NHE; the electrolyte on the negative side of the cell ("anolyte") contains the redox couple $\text{V}^{3+} / \text{V}^{2+}$ with a standard potential of -0.255 V/NHE.

The following reactions take place at the positive inert electrode,

$$\text{VO}_2^+ + 2\text{H}^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{VO}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad 1$$

involving $\text{V}^\text{V}$ and $\text{V}^\text{IV}$ species, and at the negative inert electrode,

$$\text{V}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{V}^{3+} + e^- \quad 2$$

During discharge:

- the reaction described in Eq. 1 takes place in the reduction direction at the positive electrode and the redox potential of the posilyte decreases,
- the reaction described in Eq. 2 takes place in the oxidation direction at the positive electrode and the redox potential of the negalyte increases.

The opposite reactions take place during charge.

The electrodes are typically inert carbon felts and are only used to transfer electrons. The active materials are actually redox pairs, that is to say chemical compounds that can uptake and release electrons. The liquids containing these redox pairs are separated by an ion-exchange membrane, so that the mixing does not occur, which would be equivalent as short-circuiting the two poles of the battery. However, ion exchange is possible through the membrane to allow for a charge balance between the two half-cells or battery poles. In reality, electrolyte cross-over always happen to a small extent, which leads to the battery self-discharge and a reduced Coulombic Efficiency ($\text{CE}_{\text{b}}$), which is defined below.

In VRFBs, the exchanged ion is $\text{H}^+$ if the membrane is a cation exchange membrane as shown in Figure 1. In the case of an anion-exchange membrane, the main charge carriers are $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{HSO}_4^-$. Because the energy conversion and the energy storage are separated, it is possible to independently size the power and the energy of the battery. The energy (or capacity) of the battery is related to the size of the tanks that store the electrolytes. The larger the tanks, the larger the charge that can be delivered by the battery.

The battery power depends on the electrode size i.e the current and on the emf (electromotive force) of the full cell (Figure 2a). Increasing the electrode area and/or using a stack of cells leads to an increase in the battery power (Figure 2b).

---

1 The positive electrode is a cathode only during discharge. A term like “posilyte” could be preferred.

2 An « inert » electrode does not electrochemically or chemically interact with the electrolyte.
III. Experimental conditions

A Pinflow® test lab cell with 20 cm² active area was used with Pinflow® graphite felt electrodes and Nafion 115 membrane. The scheme below (Figure 3) describes the various parts of the cell. The electrolyte flow was performed by two Watson Marlow pumps 323S with pump heads 313X and 313DW.

Figure 2: In RFBs the energy and the power of a device can be separately tailored: a) increasing the size of the electrolytes tank increases the battery capacity, while b) increasing the size or the number of cells increases the power.

Figure 3: Exploded view of the Pinflow® RFB test cell
The characterization of the lab cell was performed using a typical commercial electrolyte comprising 0.8 mol L\(^{-1}\) of V\(^{3+}\) and VO\(^{2+}\), 2 mol L\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 0.3 wt.% H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) from GfE, (Gesellschaft für Elektrometallurgie GmbH) as the initial posilyte and negalyte. 58.3 mL of the electrolyte was introduced in each branch of the electrolyte circuit. The corresponding theoretical capacity can be calculated using the following formula derived from the Faraday law:

\[
Q = nCVF
\]

With \(Q\) the capacity in C or mAh contained by a volume \(V\) in L\(^{-1}\) of electrolyte with a concentration \(C\) in mol L\(^{-1}\) of active species involving \(n\) electrons, and \(F\) the Faraday constant in C mol\(^{-1}\).

In the conditions described above:

\[
Q = 58.3 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.8 \times 96485/3.6 = 1250 \text{ mAh}
\]

Nitrogen gas was bubbled through the negative electrolyte to prevent its self-discharge due to the oxidation of V\(^{II}\) ions by oxygen from air.

With an equimolar concentration of V\(^{3+}\) and VO\(^{2+}\) ions in both compartments, the battery SoC is at -50%. The negative SoC can be understood by looking at Table 1, which summarizes the content of each electrolyte with respect to the SoC of the battery. \(N\) represents a given number of moles. VO\(^{2+}\) and VO\(^{2+}\) correspond to V\(^{IV}\) and V\(^V\) oxidation states, respectively.

Given that \(Q_0\) is the charge necessary to increment of +1, the oxidation state of 1 mol of V ions, the negative SoC is explained by the fact that a charge \(Q_0\) must pass in the posilyte and the negalyte with the initial electrolyte to reach an SoC of 0.

During this first step of the initial charge, going from -50% SoC to 0% SoC, the following reaction takes place at the positive electrode:

\[
V^{3+} + H_2O \rightarrow VO^{2+} + e^- + 2H^+ \quad 4
\]

The same reaction occurs in the opposite direction at the negative electrode.

Table 1: The quantity of vanadium ions in both poles of the VRFB as a function of SoC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SoC/%</th>
<th>Posilyte</th>
<th>Negalyte</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(V^{2+})</td>
<td>(V^{3+})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A BCS-815 from BioLogic was used to perform the DC pre-charge and cycling experiments. A VSP-300 was used to perform EIS experiments\(^4\). BT-Lab® software was used to control the BCS-815 and EC-Lab® was used to control the VSP-300.

IV. Results

1. Charge to +50% SoC

A current of 3 A, was applied to the cell, using a 4-point connection mode with a charge limit of 2500 mAh and a potential limit of 1.65 V. A charge quantity of 2500 mAh allows to go from SoC of -50% to an SoC of 50%. When one or the other limit is reached the experiment stops. The graph below shows the initial pre-charging curve (Figure 4), the charge limit was reached first. The electrolyte was flowing at a rate

---

\(^4\) Any BioLogic instrument with sufficient current and impedance capabilities is suitable.
of 40 mL min⁻¹, which is a typical operating value at a temperature of 25 °C.

The initial increase corresponds to the battery activation. The voltage increases at around 1000 and 1200 s correspond to electrolyte conversion. The drop at the end corresponds to an OCV period.

Figure 4: Galvanostatic pre-charging curve, 3 A with a 2500 mAh charge limit and 1.65 V potential limit.

2. Cycling

To investigate and demonstrate the cyclability of VRFB, galvanostatic charges and discharges were repeatedly performed. A 3 A charge and discharge current, corresponding to 150 mA cm⁻² current density, was applied to the cell, with charging and discharging potential limits of 1.65 and 0.8 V, respectively (Figure 5a). Please note that only the first 6.5 cycles are shown, over a total of 71 cycles (Figure 5b).

Figure 5b shows the Coulombic Efficiency CEₙ of the galvanostatic cycling, which is defined by the following formula:

\[
CEₙ = \frac{|Q_{\text{dis}_n}|}{Q_{\text{ch}_n}}
\]

It represents the ratio of charge released during the discharge \(Q_{\text{dis}_n}\) to the charge necessary for charging the battery \(Q_{\text{ch}_n}\) at a given charge/discharge cycle \(n\).

It can be seen that after 10 cycles the coulombic efficiency evolves between 96 and 96.5 %, which proves the very good cyclability of VRFBs.

Figure 5: a) Cycling curves at 3/-3 A charge/discharge with potential limits at 1.65 V and 0.8 V. Only 6.5 cycles are shown over a total of 71. ; b) Efficiency vs. cycle number.

Other parameters can be used to estimate the cyclability and the capacity retention: for example one can plot the discharge capacity vs. cycle number as shown in Figure 6. \(Q_{\text{dis}_n}\) is readily available in the variables list. From this curve, one can determine a discharge capacity loss, using the Linear Fit tool available in BT-Lab® (and EC-Lab®). In our case, the capacity retention is estimated to be around 4.2 mAh/cycle.

Two other parameters can also be useful, the Energy Efficiency (EEₙ) and the Voltage Efficiency (VEₙ), whose formula are

\[
EEₙ = \frac{E_{\text{dis}_n}}{E_{\text{ch}_n}}
\]
with $E_{\text{dis}_n}$ and $E_{\text{ch}_n}$ the discharge and charge energy, respectively, at a given cycle $n$, and

$$VE_n = \frac{EE_n}{CE_n}$$

respectively.

$$E_{\text{dis}_n}$$ and $$E_{\text{ch}_n}$$ can be readily plotted in BT-Lab® (or EC-Lab®) as the energy is a built-in variable, as it can be seen in Figure 7. To obtain EE_n and VE_n, one needs to export these variables into a .mpt file and do the maths using a separate software package.

**Figure 6:** a) The discharge capacity is readily available in the variables list; b) Discharge capacity vs. cycle number for the data shown in Fig. 5a, with discharge capacity loss obtained by fitting one part of the curve with the Linear Fit tool in BT-Lab® (also available in EC-Lab®).

**Figure 7:** $E_{\text{dis}_n}$ and $E_{\text{ch}_n}$ vs. cycle number for the results shown in Fig. 5.

The various efficiencies can be affected by different factors:

- **CE_n**:
  - Active species cross-over or degradation,
  - Parasitic electrode reactions such as Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER), Oxygen Evolution Reaction (OER),
  - Self-discharge reaction of charged species with atmospheric oxygen, all leading to electrolyte SoC unbalance.

- **VE_n**:
  - Increased cell resistance due to electrode deactivation,
  - Increased membrane resistance
  - Electrolyte unbalance.

All factors can affect EE_n.

### 3. EIS

**Figure 8a and 8b** show the Nyquist diagram of the impedance data obtained on a VRFB at -50% and +50% SoC, respectively. The PEIS technique was used with 5 mV amplitude around OCV scanning from 200 kHz to 50 mHz with 6 frequency points per decade.
L1 corresponds to the inductance related to the cell used to perform the measurement. It is very important to plot and fit the inductance to accurately determine the values of the other parameters, as described in the application note on this subject [5] and the corresponding BioLogic topic on the same subject [6]. R1 corresponds to the ohmic resistance, Q1 corresponds to the distributed double layer capacitance, R2 to the charge transfer resistance.

The fitting results are given in Table 2. All parameters related to the low frequency part of the impedance have drastically varied due to the SoC change, as could be inferred from the appearance of the impedance graph, more precisely the diffusion time constant $t_d$ and the diffusion resistance $R_d$ [4]. The pseudo-capacitance also drastically changed. The “electronic” parameters (ohmic resistance, inductance) varied to a lesser extent, as well as the charge transfer resistance $R_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elem.</th>
<th>unit</th>
<th>-50% SoC</th>
<th>50% SoC</th>
<th>∆/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ H</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>$10^2$ Ω</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1/F</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
<td>-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>9.24x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td2/s</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b For various flow rates.

In the next part, several impedance graphs were plotted with various flow rates using GEIS technique and a 10 mV amplitude around OCV, from 100 kHz to 5 mHz, with
The results are shown in Figure 10.

![Figure 10: Nyquist diagrams of impedance obtained on VRFBs for various electrolyte flow rates as indicated on the graph.](image)

Using the same equivalent circuit as before (Figure 9), the graphs shown in Figure 10 were fitted. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Fitting results of the impedance Nyquist graphs shown in Figure 10 using the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 9. The first line shows the various flow rates used in mL min⁻¹.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elem./unit</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1/10⁻⁹H</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1/10⁻²Ω</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1/F s⁻¹</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd/10⁻³Ω</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td2/s</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2/10⁻³Ω</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following the same rationale as for an RDE [4], it can be expected that the diffusion time constant is proportional to the inverse of the flow rate, therefore we try to plot \( \tau_d \) vs. \( 1/q_v \) where \( q_v \) is the volumic flow rate in mL min⁻¹. The results are shown in Figure 11.

![Figure 11: Diffusion time constants obtained by fitting EIS data shown in Figure 10, plotted as a function of the inverse of the flow rate.](image)

However, as an example, we can mention the work by G. Barral [7], whose EIS study was performed on a filter-press cell where the characteristic frequency is given by

\[
\frac{f_c}{2\pi \tau_d} = k v_0^{1.4}
\]

with \( v_0 \) the flow velocity in cm s⁻¹.

V. Conclusions

In this application note, we have introduced Vanadium Redox Battery Cells and demonstrated that they could be characterized using BioLogic BCS-815 and VSP-300 instruments and a Pinflow® 20 cm² test cell. We presented DC cycling curves and various indicators that can be used to assess the battery quality. We also showed EIS data at various SoCs and various flow rates, showing a correlation between the diffusion time constant and the flow rate, indicating a bounded diffusion process.
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